Tolle 18th Jonwory: Rotional filling conditions for torsion free sheaves

recoll : Let R le o complete descrete voluction rung over S and let to be o uniformizer, we all

 $Y := Spec (R[t]) \quad and \quad Y := Spec (R[s,t]/st-\overline{w})$ f_{R} J J

 $\Theta_{R} := [Y_{\Theta_{R}}/G_{m}] \text{ oud } \overline{ST_{R}} := [Y_{\overline{ST}R}/G_{m}]$

definition: Let Mb be either box, Poir or Abox. Let It be either OR or STR. We may that Mo admits the 22 roleanol filling conduction if : For all morphisms f: 28 (10,0) -> Mb there exists a morphism g: 20-> Mb t and a 2 - commutative diogram of pseudofunctors: H(0,0) ____ M 1. 2 - - - > Most where f is the melusian H (0,0) ~ H

Lemma 4.6: Suppose that the stock bat (X) admits OR rational filling condition (rep. 5TR) then lath Pois of (X) and Abod (X) admit of roband filling condition (reg. 5TR).

proof the the the bit of the approved mergline
$$Y (100)^{24} \rightarrow 0$$
 is equivalent to a Winner deft of the it on the stand of the given it the stander of the the stand in the second the filles the stand in the second the filles of $X \rightarrow 0$ and second to the stand in the second the filles of $X \rightarrow 0$ and second the stand in the second the filles of $X \rightarrow 0$ and the stand in the second the filles of $X \rightarrow 0$ and the second the second the stander of the stander of the stander of the second to the stander of the second termination of the seco

The ideo: for (1), note that since $X_{(0,0)}$ is integral then for most points in $X_{(0,0)}$ \widehat{F} ; $f(f_X)_{*}$ \widehat{T}^{F} is a free graded module (i.e it is of the form $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}(m_i; Z)$ So we extend this to the whole $X \neq by$ setting $\widehat{Z} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(m_i; Z)$.

for (2), note that we are find a big enough n >> 0 st. there is a settion $S_0 \in H^0(X_{(0,0)}, U(m))$ which vanishes at yourts where \widehat{T}^{i} is not the free gooded module described before (would $X_{(0,0)}$). This settion is extended to X_Y "by $6m^{-1}$ unrovance" and thus its vanishing basis is a batter during for (3), by construction they are both isomorphic outside of D, but would of $X_{(0,0)}$, all if this iso. So we extend it in two steps, first extend to $X_Y \setminus D$ which can be done because the open complement of $X_Y \setminus D$ is office and the fait that E restricted to this open set is backly free. Then extend to the whole X_Y by during E if necessary, this extension will be called \overline{Y} . Now we adjust the construction bry remaining the points where \overline{Y} is not surjective D this during to be of the construction by remaining the points where \overline{Y} is not surjective D this during to be of the order of the point of the order of the order of the point of the order of the point of the order of the order of the point of the point of the point of the order of the point of the point of the order of the point of the order of the point point of the point of the poin

Agust the dwiss by setting D+D' os the during we are bashing for. Then by construction V is on iso away from D+D' between E and F.

It different sort of numerical surverient
- Yow to construct polynomial mumarical enversants on a stock from a square of active line
handles
$$(1_m)_{m \in \mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{H}(Mb) \otimes \mathcal{R}$$
.
 $\rightarrow for each $g: (BG_m^{-1})_{\mathcal{R}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ the pullack $g^{+} I_m$ is determined by a locater in $\mathcal{X}(G_m^{-1}) \otimes \Omega \subseteq \Omega^{-1}$
 $A \equiv n - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\alpha t_m^{-1} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_{n-1}}^{-1} = d^{-1} the pullack $g^{+} I_m$ is determined by a locater in $\mathcal{X}(G_m^{-1}) \otimes \Omega \subseteq \Omega^{-1}$
 $A \equiv n - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\alpha t_m^{-1} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_{n-1}}^{-1} = d^{-1} the pullack $g^{+} I_m$ is determined by a locater in $\mathcal{X}(G_m^{-1}) \otimes \Omega \subseteq \Omega^{-1}$
 $I \equiv n - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\alpha t_m^{-1} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_{n-1}}^{-1} = d^{-1} the subsolution of $g^{+} J_m^{-1}$.
If the I_m are well doesn st: freeze is results in a $\sigma \sigma_m^{-1} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{H}$ a 2-solial polynomial then are an
 $leftice an \mathcal{H} linear function:
 $L = g: \mathcal{H}^{-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H})$
 $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}) = \frac{1}{120} \mathcal{H} \cdot \sigma_m^{-1/2}$
 $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}) = \sigma \operatorname{exteriol} quadratic norm an graded points$
 $A = leftice or returned quadratic norm an graded points$
 $A = leftice or returned quadratic definite quadratic norm $l(g_1(\cdot))$ with not suff defined on \mathcal{H}^{0}
with norm sampedulity undefore.
 $\Rightarrow in this are: for all nondegenerate $g: (B \subseteq m^{-1})_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and carresyonding $\mathcal{V}: (G_m^{-1})_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $(g + g_1g_2\mathcal{H})$
 $\mathfrak{nt}: \qquad \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{V}}(\overline{\pi}) = \frac{L_2(\overline{\mu})}{\sqrt{L_2(\pi)}}$.$$$$$$$

w

There are vorious notwolky defined families of line bundles on bot d(x)

Let n & Z then the line bunkle M on boh d (X) is given by: fet T le on 5-scheme X_T->X T_T J T->5 f: T -> bot (X) correspond to a Tpure sheaf From XT Then $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} M_{n} := \det^{+} R_{\Pi_{T}} * (\mathcal{F}(n))$ -> Mon E Pix (boh (X)) is a polynomial in the variable nof degree d+1 with values in Pic. More precisely we con decompose it os: $M_{m} = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{d+1} \lim_{j \to i} \lim_{i \to i} \lim_{j \to i} (-1)^{2} \binom{i}{j}$ $M_{m} = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{d+1} \lim_{j \to i} \lim_{j \to$ and let Ln be st : ft Ln := ft M & ft by Where Fre is the reduced Millert polynamial addutionally let us define a rational quadratic norm on graded algerts of bobd (X) def: Let $g:(B6_m)_{K} \rightarrow bah^{d}(X)$ le o 2⁹ groded pure sheef $\overline{Te} = \overline{\oplus} \overline{Te}_{\overline{m}}$ of dim d on X_{K} . we define b(g) hy: $b(g|v:= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{q}} n \sum_{\widetilde{P}_{m}} \cdot (\overline{m} \cdot yv)^{2}$ Note that the numerical amoriant altimed in this way is the natural generalization of the preversusly defined estamological involvents, we gust changed the ordered set where they are valued. It is worth mentioning that they will enjoy all the benefits of the stamological invariants if the polynomial w^{io} and ultimotely if the family (Ln) has some boundedness condition (when n -> 00). See 9.6.7. Polynomial valued numerical more to HL 18]